



# Radiative penguins at hadron machines

#### Kevin Stenson University of Colorado





#### Introduction





- Radiative penguins involve b to s,d transitions with a radiated photon (b→sγ).
- $b \rightarrow sll$  also contain an electroweak box diagram.
- Highly suppressed in standard model but new physics can add particle to loop, changing the decay rate or details of the decay.

#### Results from LHC: ATLAS, CMS, LHCb





- ATLAS and CMS are general purpose experiments covering the central region (designed for high-p<sub>T</sub> physics)
- LHCb is a dedicated b-physics experiment covering the forward region.

#### Kevin Stenson

#### $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\gamma$ and $B_s \rightarrow \phi\gamma$ from LHCb

- Trigger requires EM energy cluster of E<sub>T</sub>>2.5, followed by requiring a displaced tracks and then requiring two tracks to match K<sup>\*0</sup>→K<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup> or φ→K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>, with a B mass within 1 GeV of nominal.
- Offline requirements similar to trigger plus particle ID from RICH and a helicity cut to reduce π<sup>0</sup> contribution.
- Fit to B mass includes contributions from subdominant partially reconstructed b—sy and charmless decays with  $\pi^{0.}$





**Kevin Stenson** 

Radiative penguins at hadron machines

#### $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ decays at a hadron machine!

From the yields, efficiencies, and the LHCb measurement of f<sub>s</sub>/f<sub>d</sub> (the production ratio of B<sub>s</sub> to B<sub>d</sub>), the following ratio is measured:

 $\frac{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \gamma)}{\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \phi \gamma)} = 1.23 \pm 0.06 \,(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.04 \,(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.10 \,(f_s/f_d)$ 

- The B<sup>0</sup>→K<sup>\*0</sup>γ sample is split into particle and antiparticle to search for direct CP violation.
- After correcting for production related effects, material related effects, and detector related effects, no asymmetry is found:



5

 $\mathcal{A}_{CP}(B^0 \to K^{*0}\gamma) = (0.8 \pm 1.7 \,(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.9 \,(\text{syst.}))\%$ 

#### Reconstructing $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ at LHCb

- Triggered by muon with p<sub>T</sub>>1.5 GeV, a displaced track, and requirements based on partial or full reconstruction of B<sup>+</sup>.
- Offline: cuts and BDT based on standard criteria (vertex fit quality, vertex displacement, momentum point-back, impact parameters, etc.) and neural network for particle ID.



Decay described by one angle, θ<sub>I</sub>, plus q<sup>2</sup>=m<sup>2</sup>(μμ). Analysis fits cosθ<sub>I</sub> and B<sup>+</sup> mass in bins of q<sup>2</sup> to obtain the yield and two parameters related to the decay: F<sub>H</sub> and A<sub>FB</sub>.

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma[B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-]}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_l} = \frac{3}{4} (1 - F_\mathrm{H})(1 - \cos^2\theta_l) + \frac{1}{2}F_\mathrm{H} + A_\mathrm{FB}\cos\theta_l$$

Kevin Stenson

Results for  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$  decay



LHCb results are by far the most precise. Small deviation in branching fraction at low q<sup>2</sup>. The forward-backward asymmetry is consistent with 0 as expected. The  $F_H$  parameter, measured for the first time, is also consistent with the SM.

#### JHEP 1302 (2013) 105



**Kevin Stenson** 

## $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay

- The kinematics of the  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^$ decay are described by three angles ( $\theta_K$ ,  $\theta_L$ ,  $\phi$ ) plus the q<sup>2</sup> of the decay = m<sup>2</sup>( $\mu\mu$ ).
- Data is usually binned in q<sup>2</sup> and fitted to the angular variables.
- Full theoretical description of decay is below:

$$\mu^{-}$$
  $\mu^{-}$   $\mu^{+}$   $\theta_{K}$   $\theta_{K}$   $\mu^{+}$   $\mu^{+}$   $\mu^{-}$   $\mu^{-$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{4}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}q^{2}\operatorname{d}\cos\theta_{K}\operatorname{d}\cos\theta_{\ell}\operatorname{d}\phi} = \frac{9}{32\pi} \Big[ \mathbf{S_{1}^{s}}\sin^{2}\theta_{K} + \mathbf{S_{1}^{c}}\cos^{2}\theta_{K} + \mathbf{S_{2}^{c}}\cos^{2}\theta_{K}\cos 2\theta_{\ell} + \mathbf{S_{2}^{s}}\sin^{2}\theta_{K}\cos 2\theta_{\ell} + \mathbf{S_{3}^{s}}\sin^{2}\theta_{K}\sin^{2}\theta_{\ell}\cos 2\phi + \mathbf{S_{4}}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{\ell}\cos \phi + \mathbf{S_{5}}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{\ell}\cos \phi + \mathbf{S_{5}}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{\ell}\cos \phi_{\ell} + \mathbf{S_{5}}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{\ell}\sin \phi_{\ell} + \mathbf{S_{5}}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{\ell}\sin \phi + \mathbf{S_{5}}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{\ell}\sin \phi + \mathbf{S_{5}}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{\ell}\sin \phi + \mathbf{S_{5}}\sin 2\theta_{K}\sin 2\theta_{\ell}\sin 2\theta_{\ell}\sin \phi + \mathbf{S_{5}}\sin^{2}\theta_{K}\sin^{2}\theta_{\ell}\sin 2\phi_{\ell} \Big]$$

Kevin Stenson

$$\begin{array}{c}
 B^{0} \longrightarrow K^{*0} \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \operatorname{decay} \\
 \frac{d^{4}\Gamma}{dq^{2} \operatorname{dcos} \theta_{K} \operatorname{dcos} \theta_{\ell} \operatorname{d\phi}} &= \frac{9}{32\pi} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{1}^{s} \sin^{2} \theta_{K} + \mathbf{S}_{1}^{c} \cos^{2} \theta_{K} + \\ & \mathbf{S}_{2}^{s} \sin^{2} \theta_{K} \cos 2 \theta_{\ell} + \mathbf{S}_{2}^{c} \cos^{2} \theta_{K} \cos 2 \theta_{\ell} + \\ & \mathbf{S}_{3}^{s} \sin^{2} \theta_{K} \sin^{2} \theta_{\ell} \cos 2 \phi + \\ \mathbf{S}_{4} \sin 2 \theta_{K} \sin 2 \theta_{\ell} \cos \phi + \\ & \mathbf{S}_{5} \sin 2 \theta_{K} \sin \theta_{\ell} \cos \phi + \\ & \mathbf{S}_{6} \sin^{2} \theta_{K} \cos \theta_{\ell} + \\ & \mathbf{S}_{7} \sin 2 \theta_{K} \sin \theta_{\ell} \sin \phi + \\ & \mathbf{S}_{8} \sin^{2} \theta_{K} \sin^{2} \theta_{\ell} \sin 2 \theta_{\ell} \sin \phi + \\ & \mathbf{S}_{9} \sin^{2} \theta_{K} \sin^{2} \theta_{\ell} \sin 2 \phi \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{S}_{9} - \mathbf{A}_{9} \text{ when } \phi \rightarrow -\phi \text{ for anti-B}^{0}; \\ \mathbf{A}_{9} \text{ is better for CP-asymmetries.} \\ \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{4}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}q^{2}\operatorname{dcos}\theta_{K}\operatorname{dcos}\theta_{\ell}\operatorname{d}\phi} = \frac{9}{16\pi} \Big[ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}\cos^{2}\theta_{K} + \frac{3}{4}\left(1 - \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}\right)\left(1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{K}\right) - \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}\cos^{2}\theta_{K}\left(2\cos^{2}\theta_{\ell} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}\right)\left(1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{K}\right)\left(2\cos^{2}\theta_{\ell} - 1\right) + \mathbf{S}_{3}\left(1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{K}\right)\left(1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{\ell}\right)\cos 2\phi + \frac{4}{3}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{FB}}\left(1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{K}\right)\cos \theta_{\ell} + \mathbf{A}_{9}\left(1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{K}\right)\left(1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{\ell}\right)\sin 2\phi \Big]$$

With the assumptions of large  $q^2$  and folding the  $\phi$  distribution, expression simplifies to 4 free parameters. LHCb fits this equation while other experiments integrate over  $\phi$  to simplify further.

Kevin Stenson

### Reconstructing $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$

The B<sup>0</sup> candidate is composed of four charged tracks: K<sup>+</sup>,  $\pi^-$ ,  $\mu^+$ ,  $\mu^-$ . Backgrounds are reduced by requiring a good vertex, displaced from the production points and with a momentum vector consistent with the production point. Also, explicit cuts on backgrounds (like  $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ ). Below are  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu\mu$  invariant mass plots from the 3 experiments for the smallest common  $q^2$  bin (top) and largest  $q^2$  bin (bottom).



#### Fitting the $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay

**LHCb:** 
$$\frac{d^{4}\Gamma}{dq^{2} d\cos \theta_{K} d\cos \theta_{\ell} d\phi} = \frac{9}{16\pi} \Big[ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}} \cos^{2} \theta_{K} + \frac{3}{4} (1 - \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}) \left(1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{K}\right) - \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}} \cos^{2} \theta_{K} + \frac{3}{4} (1 - \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}) \left(1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{K}\right) \left(2 \cos^{2} \theta_{\ell} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{4} (1 - \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}) \left(1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{K}\right) \left(2 \cos^{2} \theta_{\ell} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{4} (1 - \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}) \left(1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{K}\right) \left(2 \cos^{2} \theta_{\ell} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}} \cos^{2} \theta_{K} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}} \left(1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{K}\right) \left(1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{\ell}\right) \cos 2\phi + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}} \left(1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{K}\right) \left(1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{\ell}\right) \cos \theta_{\ell} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}} \left(1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{K}\right) \left(1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{\ell}\right) \sin 2\phi \Big]$$

**CMS:** CMS-PAS-BPH-11-009 
$$\frac{d^{3}\Gamma}{dq^{2} d\cos \theta_{K} d\cos \theta_{\ell}} = \frac{9}{16} \left\{ \left[ \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{F_{s}} + \frac{4}{3} \mathbf{A_{s}} \cos \theta_{K} \right] \left( 1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{\ell} \right) + \left( 1 - \mathbf{F_{s}} \right) \left[ 2 \mathbf{F_{L}} \cos^{2} \theta_{K} \left( 1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{\ell} \right) + \left( 1 - \mathbf{F_{s}} \right) \left[ 2 \mathbf{F_{L}} \cos^{2} \theta_{K} \left( 1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{\ell} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \mathbf{F_{L}} \right) \left( 1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{K} \right) \left( 1 + \cos^{2} \theta_{\ell} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \mathbf{F_{L}} \right) \left( 1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{K} \right) \left( 1 + \cos^{2} \theta_{\ell} \right) + \left( \frac{4}{3} \mathbf{A_{FB}} \left( 1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{K} \right) \cos \theta_{\ell} \right) \right\} \right]$$

#### **ATLAS:**

- $\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}q^2\,\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_\ell} = \frac{3}{4}\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}\left(1-\cos^2\theta_\ell\right) + \frac{3}{8}\left(1-\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}\right)\left(1+\cos^2\theta_\ell\right) + \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{FB}}\cos\theta_\ell$
- 1D fit to invariant mass to get signal and  $\frac{d^2\Gamma}{dq^2 d\cos \theta_K} = \frac{3}{2} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}} \cos^2 \theta_K + \frac{3}{4} (1 \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}) (1 \cos^2 \theta_K)$  background yields and shapes.
- 3D fit to  $\cos\theta_{\rm K}$ ,  $\cos\theta_{\rm I}$ , and invariant mass done using independent description of two angles. Obtain results for  $F_{\rm L}$  and  $A_{\rm FB}$ .

ATLAS-CONF-2013-038

Kevin Stenson

#### Fitting the $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay

Projections of fits to angular variables look reasonable. Differences between ATLAS and CMS may be from cuts or angle definitions.



# $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ branching fraction

- The branching fraction measurement for B<sup>0</sup>→K<sup>\*0</sup>μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup> utilizes the normalization mode B<sup>0</sup>→J/ψ K<sup>\*0</sup>. The ratios of yields are corrected by ratios of efficiencies and the PDG value of the B<sup>0</sup>→J/ψ K<sup>\*0</sup> branching fraction is used to obtain an absolute rate.
- Results are consistent with standard model.



# $F_L$ from $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$

- The fraction of longitudinally polarized K\*<sup>0</sup> mesons in the decay is extracted from the fit.
- The 3 LHC based results are more precise than the b-factory results.
- Taken as a whole, no indication of deviation from the standard model. Note the theory and experimental uncertainties are comparable.



Kevin Stenson

# $A_{FB}$ from $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$

- The forward-backward asymmetry of the two muons in the decay is extracted from the fit.
- The 3 LHC based results are more precise than the b-factory results.
- No indication of deviation from the standard model.
- In addition, LHCb measures the location of the 0 crossing point to be 4.9±0.9 GeV<sup>4</sup>, consistent with the standard model.



#### Other angular analysis results from $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$

- From the fit to all three angles, LHCb extracts two other parameters related to the decay, S<sub>3</sub> and A<sub>9</sub>.
- These also don't show indications of new physics (yet).



#### Uncovered results (all from LHCb)



17

#### Summary and outlook

- Results from the LHC experiments, especially LHCb, have eclipsed the b-factories in some very important areas of radiative penguin decays, most notably in B<sup>0</sup>→K<sup>\*0</sup>µ<sup>+</sup>µ<sup>-</sup>.
- Unfortunately, new physics has not yet been found.
- Results shown here are from 2011 data taking (about 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> for LHCb and about 5 fb<sup>-1</sup> from ATLAS/CMS).
- The 2012 data provide an additional 2 fb<sup>-1</sup> for LHCb and 20 fb<sup>-1</sup> for ATLAS/CMS. Should allow for more decay modes (such as Λ<sub>b</sub>→Λµ<sup>+</sup>µ<sup>-</sup>), better precision on existing decay modes, and checking more variables in existing decay modes.
- The hunt for new physics continues...

#### Backup

Kevin Stenson

#### $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ efficiencies from CMS

Efficiencies versus  $q^2$  (integrated over angles) and versus  $cos\theta_K$ ,  $cos\theta_L$ , and  $\phi$  (in bins of  $q^2$ ). Representative only; 2D functions in ( $cos\theta_K$ ,  $cos\theta_L$ ) used for efficiency parameterization in likelihood fit.



### Effect of S-wave on $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*0}$ distributions



#### $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ mass plots from ATLAS





Contributions from radiative tail of charmonium modes removed using cut of:  $\left[\left[m\left(B^{0}\right)_{rec}-m\left(B^{0}\right)_{PDG}\right]-\left[m\left(\mu^{+}\mu^{-}\right)_{rec}-m\left(J/\psi\right)_{PDG}\right]\right]<130 \text{ MeV}$ and similar cut for  $\psi(2S)$ .

#### $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ mass plots from CMS





#### **Kevin Stenson**

#### $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ mass plots from LHCb





Charmonium decays (including misreconstructed and with soft photons) are removed by applying cut including information on  $K\pi\mu\mu$  mass.